



Drama Research: National Drama's online international research journal

<https://www.nationaldrama.org.uk/drama-research/>

## **PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT**

For all parties involved in the act of publishing (the author, the journal editor(s), the peer reviewers and the publisher) it is necessary to agree upon standards of expected ethical behaviour. The ethics statement for *Drama Research* is based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

### **Editor Responsibilities**

#### **Publication Decisions**

The editor is responsible for deciding which of the papers submitted to the journal will be published. The editor will evaluate manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The decision will be based on the paper's importance, originality and clarity, and the study's validity and its relevance to the journal's scope. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism should also be considered. The editor will maintain the integrity of the academic record and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

#### **Confidentiality**

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

#### **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest**

The editor will seek to ensure a fair and appropriate peer review process.

The editor will be guided by COPE's Guidelines for Retracting Articles when considering retracting, issuing expressions of concern about, and issuing corrections pertaining to articles that have been published in *Drama Research*.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript will not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.

#### **The use of generative AI and AI assisted technologies in the editorial process**

Editors will not upload a submitted manuscript or any part of it into a public generative AI tool as this may violate the authors' confidentiality and proprietary rights and, where the manuscript contains personally identifiable information, may breach data privacy rights.

This confidentiality requirement extends to all communication about the manuscript including any notification or decision letters as they may contain confidential information about the manuscript and/or the authors.

## **Reviewer Responsibilities**

### **Contribution to editorial decisions**

The peer-reviewing process assists the editor and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and, through the editorial communication with the author, may also serve the author in improving the paper.

### **Alertness to Ethical Issues**

A reviewer should be alert to potential ethical issues in the paper and should bring these to the attention of the editor, including any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which the reviewer has personal knowledge. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation.

### **Double-blind peer-review process**

All manuscripts are reviewed by two anonymous reviewers from the field who will write an assessment, which will be crucial for the approval or rejection of the manuscript by the Editorial Board.

If the assessment of the referees regarding the article is not unanimous, the Editorial Board will ask for the assessment of a third reviewer, whose report will decide whether the manuscript should be included in the volume, or should be returned to be reworked by the author in depth.

The author whose manuscript has been returned owing to the necessity of a major revision according to the reviewers, could resubmit it to the journal provided that the corrections indicated in the reports have been included.

The reviewers can suggest the definite rejection of the manuscript, if it does not meet the usual criteria in any academic publication. If two assessments agree with the rejection of the manuscript, it will not be published in the journal.

### **Promptness**

Any invited referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its timely review will be impossible should immediately notify the editor so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

### **Confidentiality**

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorised by the editor.

### **Standards of objectivity**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable. Reviewers should be aware of any personal bias they may have and take this into account when reviewing a paper. Reviewers should express their views clearly and with appropriate supporting arguments.

### **Acknowledgement of sources**

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

### **Disclosure and conflicts of interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submission.

### **The use of generative AI and AI assisted technologies in the peer review process**

Reviewers should not upload a submitted manuscript or any part of it into a public generative AI tool as this may violate the authors' confidentiality and proprietary rights and, where the paper contains personally identifiable information, may breach data privacy rights.

This confidentiality requirement extends to the peer review report, as it may contain confidential information about the manuscript and/or the authors. For this reason, reviewers should not upload their peer review report into a public AI tool, even if it is just for the purpose of improving language and readability.

## **Author Responsibilities**

### **Reporting standards**

Authors reporting results of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. A manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

### **Originality and Plagiarism**

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited.

Plagiarism takes many forms, from 'passing off' another's paper as the author's own paper, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another's paper (without attribution), to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical behaviour and is unacceptable.

**Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication**

An author should not, in general, publish manuscripts essentially describing the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Parallel submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Manuscripts which have been published as copyrighted material elsewhere cannot be submitted. In addition, manuscripts under review by the journal should not be resubmitted to copyrighted publications.

**Data access and retention**

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the manuscript for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable. In any event, authors should ensure accessibility of such data to other competent professionals for at least ten years after publication (preferably via an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data centre), provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release.

**Acknowledgement of sources**

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should also cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

**Authorship of a manuscript**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors (according to the above definition) and no inappropriate co-authors are included in the author list of the manuscript, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

**Disclosure and conflicts of interest**

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

**Fundamental errors in published works**

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal's editor or publisher and cooperate with them to either retract the paper or to publish an appropriate erratum.

### **The use of generative AI and AI assisted technologies in the writing process**

Where authors use generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, these technologies should only be used to improve readability and language of the work. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control and authors should carefully review and edit the result, because AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. The authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies and a statement will appear in the published work. Declaring the use of these technologies supports transparency and trust between authors, readers, reviewers, editors and contributors and facilitates compliance with the terms of use of the relevant tool or technology.

Authors should not list AI and AI-assisted technologies as an author or co-author, nor cite AI as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans. Each (co-) author is accountable for ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved and authorship requires the ability to approve the final version of the work and agree to its submission.

### **The use of generative AI and AI assisted technologies in figures, images and artwork**

The use of Generative AI or AI-assisted tools to create or alter images in submitted manuscripts is not permitted. This may include enhancing, obscuring, moving, removing, or introducing a specific feature within an image or figure. Adjustments of brightness, contrast, or colour balance are acceptable if and as long as they do not obscure or eliminate any information present in the original. Image forensics tools or specialised software may be applied to submitted manuscripts to identify suspected image irregularities.

## **Publisher's Confirmation**

The publisher has a supporting, investing and nurturing role in the scholarly communication process but is also ultimately responsible for ensuring that best practice is followed in its publications.

In cases of alleged or proven ethical misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism the publisher, in close collaboration with the editors, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes the prompt publication of an erratum or, in the most severe cases, the complete retraction of the affected work.

The Publisher and the Journal do not discriminate on the basis of age, race, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its publishing programmes.